

BEYOND SCHOOL WALLS: A SYSTEMS PERSPECTIVE ON TERRITORIAL EDUCATIONAL INEQUALITIES, COMMUNITY RESILIENCE, AND SCHOOL DROPOUT PREVENTION

Dorine Flora NDOUMBA NDOUMBA,

Université d'Ebolowa, Cameroun,

ndorinflora@yahoo.fr

Samueline LALAONDRAINNY FANJANIRINA,

Laboratoire Interdisciplinaire en Sciences Sociales du Développement (LISSD), Université de Fianarantsoa, Madagascar,

niry.sam28@gmail.com

Abstract: *Educational inequalities remain among the most persistent challenges confronting contemporary educational systems. While traditional approaches often attribute unequal educational outcomes to individual or school-level characteristics, emerging evidence suggests that educational disadvantage develops through dynamic interactions among territorial, institutional, familial, and community factors. Drawing upon systems thinking, this conceptual paper proposes an integrated framework for understanding educational inequalities as the product of interconnected educational ecosystems rather than isolated variables. The paper synthesizes research on territorial disparities, digital inequalities, school governance, resilience, community participation, and school dropout prevention to develop a multidimensional model of educational equity. Within this framework, educational resilience is conceptualized as an emergent property generated through interactions among learners, families, schools, communities, and local governance structures. The proposed model highlights the importance of human infrastructure—including social capital, collaborative leadership, teacher-parent partnerships, and community engagement—in reducing educational vulnerability and strengthening school retention. By integrating contemporary perspectives on decentralization, educational justice, and sustainable development, the paper advances a systems-based understanding of educational equity that may inform future empirical investigations and evidence-based educational policies aimed at preventing school dropout and promoting inclusive, resilient educational environments.*

Keywords: *educational inequalities; territorial disparities; school dropout; community resilience; educational governance; educational equity; sustainable education; social inclusion.*

Introduction

Educational inequalities continue to represent one of the most persistent and complex challenges facing contemporary educational systems. Rather than resulting from isolated individual characteristics, unequal educational opportunities emerge through the interaction of social, institutional, territorial, economic, and cultural determinants that operate simultaneously across multiple levels of the educational ecosystem. Recent research increasingly emphasizes that educational disadvantage should be understood as a systemic phenomenon, where vulnerabilities accumulate through reciprocal interactions among learners, families, schools, communities, and governance structures rather than through the influence of a single determinant (Murat, 2021; Giband et al., 2023; Supeno et al., 2022).

Among the various manifestations of educational inequality, territorial disparities occupy a particularly significant position because they shape access to educational resources, institutional quality, digital infrastructure, mobility opportunities, and community support systems. Geographic location frequently determines the availability of qualified teachers, extracurricular opportunities, technological resources, and public services, generating unequal educational trajectories for children living in different regions. These disparities are further reinforced by local governance arrangements and varying capacities of educational institutions to mobilize community resources in response to emerging educational needs (Checcaglini & Caro, 2021; Ferhat, 2021; Fortin & Brassard, 2015; Patrinos & Fasih, 2009).

School dropout represents one of the most visible consequences of these cumulative inequalities. Contemporary research no longer conceptualizes dropout as the consequence of poor academic achievement alone, but rather as the outcome of a multidimensional developmental process shaped by personal vulnerabilities, family circumstances, school climate, community characteristics, and broader territorial contexts. Individual motivation, psychological well-being, family support, educational expectations, institutional responsiveness, and local socioeconomic conditions interact continuously throughout students' educational trajectories, making school disengagement the result of a dynamic system rather than a single critical event (Fortin et al., 2006; Paksi et al., 2023; Muniglia et al., 2012; Zay, 2015).

The growing complexity of contemporary educational environments has also revealed the importance of factors that traditionally remained peripheral within educational research. Digital inequalities, community resilience, social participation, local leadership, family-school partnerships, and educational governance increasingly influence students' opportunities to remain engaged in education. Digital exclusion, for example, extends beyond technological access to encompass differences in digital competencies, participation, and educational opportunities, thereby amplifying pre-existing social inequalities (Brotcorne, 2019). Similarly, educational resilience emerges from the collective capacity of schools, families, and communities to develop adaptive responses that support learners facing adversity (Reyes et al., 2012; Rodríguez-Fernández et al., 2018).

These developments suggest that traditional linear models are no longer sufficient to explain educational inequalities. Systems thinking provides a more comprehensive theoretical lens by conceptualizing education as a network of interconnected subsystems characterized by continuous feedback loops, reciprocal influences, and adaptive interactions. Within such a perspective, educational outcomes emerge from relationships among system components rather than from isolated variables. This approach has already demonstrated considerable explanatory value across educational, psychological, and organizational research, particularly when examining complex adaptive phenomena involving multiple interacting actors and contextual influences (Rad et al., 2023; Marin et al., 2026; Roman et al., 2026).

Building upon these perspectives, the present conceptual paper proposes a systems-based framework for understanding territorial educational inequalities and school dropout prevention through the concept of community resilience. Rather than examining educational disadvantage through isolated institutional or individual perspectives, the paper integrates evidence from educational governance, territorial development, digital inequalities, resilience research, and community engagement into a unified conceptual model. The proposed framework conceptualizes educational equity as an emergent property generated through the interactions among learners, families, schools, communities, and governance structures. By doing so, the paper seeks to contribute to the growing literature on sustainable educational development while offering a theoretical foundation capable of informing future empirical investigations and evidence-based educational policies aimed at strengthening educational resilience and reducing territorial educational inequalities.

Territorial educational inequalities

Educational inequalities are profoundly influenced by the characteristics of the territories in which learning takes place. Beyond differences in individual abilities or school performance, territorial contexts shape educational opportunities through the unequal distribution of material resources, institutional capacity, public services, social capital, and governance structures. Educational territories differ considerably in their capacity to provide supportive learning environments, resulting in persistent disparities in educational participation, achievement, and long-term social inclusion. Consequently, territorial inequality should be understood not merely as a geographic phenomenon but as the outcome of interacting social, economic, institutional, and political processes that influence educational trajectories throughout the life course (Murat, 2021; Giband et al., 2023).

Educational participation is strongly conditioned by the interaction between social and spatial determinants. Socioeconomic disadvantage frequently overlaps with territorial vulnerability, producing cumulative forms of educational exclusion that extend beyond the school itself. Rural communities, geographically isolated regions, disadvantaged urban neighborhoods, and economically marginalized territories often experience reduced access to educational resources, qualified teaching staff, extracurricular opportunities, transportation infrastructure, and specialized support services. These contextual conditions contribute to unequal educational experiences and reinforce intergenerational patterns of disadvantage (Checcaglini & Caro, 2021; Murat, 2021; Fortin et al., 2006).

Territorial governance further influences educational equity by determining how responsibilities, resources, and decision-making processes are distributed across administrative levels. Decentralization has the potential to increase institutional responsiveness by allowing local actors to adapt educational policies to community needs; however, it may also amplify inequalities when territorial capacities differ substantially. Consequently, educational outcomes depend not only on formal educational policies but also on the effectiveness of local governance arrangements, institutional coordination, and the capacity of territorial actors to collaborate around shared educational objectives (Fonkoua & Marmoz, 2010; Ferhat, 2021; Fortin & Brassard, 2015; Patrinos & Fasih, 2009).

The digital transformation of education has introduced new dimensions of territorial inequality that extend far beyond access to technological devices. Contemporary digital inequalities encompass disparities in digital literacy, meaningful participation, technological confidence, educational uses of digital resources, and institutional capacity to

integrate technology into teaching and learning. These multidimensional differences disproportionately affect students from disadvantaged territories, where infrastructural limitations often coexist with reduced opportunities for developing advanced digital competencies (Brotcorne, 2019).

Recent educational research also demonstrates that digital technologies may either mitigate or reinforce existing educational inequalities depending on the quality of educational implementation. Effective integration requires not only technological infrastructure but also pedagogical innovation, teacher professional development, learner agency, and institutional readiness. Studies examining digital learning environments and artificial intelligence adoption suggest that educational technologies generate positive outcomes only when embedded within supportive educational ecosystems characterized by adaptive learning cultures, self-regulated learning, and meaningful pedagogical practices (Dughi et al., 2024; Rad, Mara, Mara, & Pérez, 2026; Rad et al., 2026; Roman et al., 2026; Marin et al., 2026).

Increasingly, educational research recognizes that schools do not operate as isolated institutions but as components of broader local educational ecosystems. These ecosystems encompass families, local authorities, community organizations, non-formal educational providers, healthcare services, cultural institutions, and civil society organizations that collectively shape children's educational experiences. The effectiveness of educational systems therefore depends not only on school quality but also on the density and quality of relationships among local actors capable of supporting children's learning and well-being (Gasse, 2024; Runhare et al., 2021).

Within these ecosystems, educational inclusion emerges through collaborative processes that connect formal, non-formal, and community-based learning opportunities. Mentoring initiatives, experiential education, parental engagement, innovative literacy practices, outdoor education, and collaborative school-community partnerships strengthen educational resilience by creating multiple pathways for learner participation and engagement. Such initiatives expand educational opportunities beyond classroom boundaries while enhancing students' sense of belonging, motivation, and long-term educational persistence (Dughi, 2020; Dughi & Bold, 2022; Dughi et al., 2025; Dughi et al., 2024; Dughi et al., 2025; Dughi & Cotrău, 2014; Torkos & Egerau, 2020; Torkos & Coşarbă, 2026).

The ecosystem perspective therefore reframes territorial educational inequalities as characteristics of interconnected educational environments rather than isolated institutional deficiencies. From this viewpoint, improving educational equity requires strengthening

relationships among local stakeholders, enhancing institutional collaboration, and developing resilient educational communities capable of responding adaptively to diverse territorial challenges.

Community resilience as human infrastructure

Community resilience has increasingly emerged as a fundamental component of educational equity, extending the understanding of resilience beyond individual adaptive capacities toward the collective resources that enable educational systems to respond effectively to adversity. Within this perspective, resilience is not viewed solely as a personal characteristic of learners but as a dynamic property of educational communities generated through the interactions among schools, families, local institutions, civil society organizations, and governance structures. The concept of human infrastructure captures this relational dimension by emphasizing the networks of trust, cooperation, leadership, shared responsibility, and institutional coordination that sustain educational participation under conditions of social vulnerability. Communities characterized by strong human infrastructure are better positioned to prevent educational exclusion, mobilize local resources, and create supportive environments that promote long-term educational engagement (Reyes et al., 2012; Rodríguez-Fernández et al., 2018; Gasse, 2024).

The functioning of resilient educational communities depends largely on the quality of social capital that connects educational stakeholders. Relationships based on trust, reciprocity, communication, and collaborative problem-solving facilitate the circulation of knowledge, encourage shared educational responsibility, and strengthen the collective capacity to address emerging challenges. Rather than operating independently, schools become central actors within broader community networks where educational success is co-produced through continuous interaction among teachers, parents, local authorities, community organizations, and learners themselves. Effective local governance reinforces these processes by coordinating educational initiatives, supporting participatory decision-making, and adapting policies to the specific characteristics of each territorial context (Ferhat, 2021; Fortin & Brassard, 2015; Fonkoua & Marmoz, 2010).

Teacher-family-community partnerships constitute one of the most visible expressions of human infrastructure within educational systems. Growing evidence suggests that sustained communication between schools and families enhances students' academic engagement, emotional adjustment, and educational persistence by creating coherent learning environments across home and school contexts. Educational

initiatives based on mentoring, parental involvement, experiential learning, non-formal education, and collaborative educational practices further strengthen children's sense of belonging while expanding opportunities for social participation and personal development. These partnerships become particularly important in disadvantaged communities where coordinated interventions may compensate for structural inequalities and reduce students' educational vulnerability (Dughi & Coşarbă, 2025; Dughi et al., 2024; Dughi et al., 2025; Torkos & Coşarbă, 2026; Rarieya et al., 2024).

Viewing educational resilience through the lens of human infrastructure also requires acknowledging that educational vulnerability develops through interconnected systems rather than isolated risk factors. Psychological well-being, school engagement, family flexibility, institutional support, and social connectedness continuously influence one another, creating reinforcing or compensatory feedback processes that shape students' educational trajectories. Recent systems-oriented educational and psychological research has demonstrated the value of network-based approaches for understanding these complex interactions, revealing that educational adaptation emerges from the organization of multiple interconnected protective factors rather than from any single determinant (Demeter et al., 2026; Dughi et al., 2025). Consequently, strengthening community resilience should be regarded as an investment in the relational architecture of educational systems, where human infrastructure serves as the mechanism through which educational equity becomes sustainable across diverse territorial contexts.

School dropout as a systemic process

School dropout represents the cumulative outcome of multiple interacting processes that unfold over time rather than a singular educational event. Contemporary educational research increasingly recognizes that students rarely disengage from education because of one isolated circumstance; instead, dropout emerges through the progressive accumulation of academic, psychological, familial, institutional, and territorial vulnerabilities that interact throughout learners' developmental trajectories. This multidimensional perspective challenges deficit-oriented explanations by emphasizing that educational disengagement reflects the functioning of the broader educational system as much as the characteristics of individual students (Fortin et al., 2006; Paksi et al., 2023; Zay, 2015).

Individual factors certainly contribute to educational persistence, yet they operate within broader social and institutional environments. Motivation, psychological well-being, emotional regulation, perceived

competence, and adaptive learning behaviors influence students' capacity to remain engaged in education. However, these characteristics are themselves shaped by educational experiences, social relationships, and institutional support. Recent psychological research has shown that well-being, perceived control, academic functioning, and learning engagement are interconnected through complex relational structures, highlighting the importance of considering learners within their broader educational ecosystems rather than as isolated individuals (Demeter et al., 2026; Rodríguez-Fernández et al., 2018).

Family environments constitute another essential component of educational persistence. Family communication, emotional support, parental involvement, educational expectations, and home-school collaboration influence students' motivation, resilience, and capacity to overcome educational challenges. Positive family-school relationships facilitate early identification of educational difficulties while strengthening learners' sense of security and belonging. Conversely, fragmented communication and limited parental engagement may reduce opportunities for coordinated educational intervention, particularly in socially vulnerable communities (Dughi & Coşarbă, 2025; Dughi et al., 2024; Dughi et al., 2025).

Schools themselves represent adaptive systems whose organizational culture, leadership, pedagogical practices, and institutional climate directly influence students' educational experiences. Inclusive educational environments characterized by collaborative teaching practices, emotional support, participatory governance, and innovative pedagogical approaches strengthen students' academic engagement while reducing the likelihood of school disengagement. By contrast, educational institutions that struggle to respond adaptively to learners' diverse needs may unintentionally reinforce educational inequalities, particularly when institutional practices fail to account for social and territorial diversity (Thomazet, 2006, 2008; Vienneau, 2002; Serir & Gremion, 2023; Tremblay, 2015).

Finally, territorial conditions integrate and amplify the effects of individual, family, and school characteristics. Access to educational resources, transportation infrastructure, community organizations, digital connectivity, employment opportunities, and local governance all influence students' educational opportunities and future aspirations. School dropout therefore reflects the cumulative functioning of territorial educational ecosystems rather than isolated institutional failures. Preventing educational exclusion consequently requires systemic interventions capable of simultaneously strengthening learners, families, schools, communities, and governance structures,

thereby transforming educational resilience into a shared property of the entire educational system rather than an individual responsibility alone (Runhare et al., 2021; Murat, 2021; Checcaglini & Caro, 2021).

A systems framework for educational equity

The literature reviewed throughout this paper suggests that educational equity cannot be adequately explained through isolated determinants operating independently at the individual, institutional, or policy level. Instead, educational outcomes emerge from the continuous interaction of multiple interconnected components that collectively shape learning opportunities, educational participation, and school retention. Building upon systems thinking and contemporary research on educational resilience, territorial governance, community engagement, and educational ecosystems, this paper proposes the Territorial Educational Resilience Framework (TERF) as an integrative conceptual model for understanding educational equity as an emergent property of adaptive educational systems.

The TERF conceptualizes educational systems as networks of reciprocal relationships organized around five interconnected subsystems: the learner, the family, the school, the community, and governance. Each subsystem performs distinct educational functions while simultaneously influencing and being influenced by the others through continuous feedback processes. Rather than operating hierarchically, the five subsystems function as a dynamic adaptive network in which changes occurring within one component generate cascading effects throughout the entire educational ecosystem. Consequently, educational resilience develops not from the strength of any single subsystem but from the quality of interactions that connect them.

The learner subsystem represents the central beneficiary of the educational ecosystem while simultaneously acting as an active contributor to educational processes through motivation, engagement, self-regulation, psychological well-being, and social participation. Educational trajectories are continuously shaped by reciprocal interactions with the surrounding subsystems, making individual educational development inseparable from broader environmental influences (Rodríguez-Fernández et al., 2018; Demeter et al., 2026).

The family subsystem provides the first layer of educational support by transmitting educational expectations, emotional security, cultural values, and learning opportunities. Through communication, parental involvement, and collaboration with educational institutions, families strengthen learners' resilience while facilitating continuity between home and school environments. Family flexibility and collaborative

engagement therefore become essential protective mechanisms within the broader educational ecosystem (Dughi & Coșarbă, 2025; Dughi et al., 2025).

The school subsystem functions as the coordinating educational environment where teaching, learning, assessment, inclusion, and student support are formally organized. Beyond academic instruction, schools facilitate socialization, psychological development, inclusive educational practices, and collaborative relationships with families and communities. Their adaptive capacity largely determines how effectively educational inequalities can be identified, compensated, and prevented (Thomazet, 2006, 2008; Tremblay, 2015; Vienneau, 2002).

The community subsystem extends educational opportunities beyond school boundaries by integrating local institutions, civil society organizations, non-formal education providers, cultural resources, healthcare services, and social support networks. Communities contribute to educational resilience by mobilizing human infrastructure, strengthening social capital, facilitating mentoring relationships, and supporting collaborative educational initiatives that reinforce students' educational engagement across diverse contexts (Runhare et al., 2021; Gasse, 2024; Dughi, 2020).

Finally, the governance subsystem provides the institutional architecture that coordinates educational policies, allocates resources, regulates decision-making processes, and facilitates collaboration among educational stakeholders. Effective governance enables educational systems to respond adaptively to territorial diversity by promoting decentralized decision-making while maintaining coherent educational objectives across regions. Consequently, governance functions as the integrating subsystem that maintains the coherence and long-term sustainability of the educational ecosystem (Ferhat, 2021; Patrinos & Fasih, 2009; Fonkoua & Marmoz, 2010).

A defining characteristic of the TERF model is the presence of continuous feedback loops connecting all five subsystems. Educational interventions introduced within one subsystem inevitably propagate throughout the system, generating adaptive responses that may reinforce or weaken educational resilience depending on the quality of coordination among stakeholders. This systemic perspective shifts attention from isolated educational interventions toward the optimization of relationships, communication, institutional cooperation, and shared responsibility across the entire educational ecosystem. Educational equity therefore emerges as a dynamic systemic property produced through the coordinated functioning of interconnected educational subsystems rather than through independent improvements in individual educational components. Recent systems-

oriented educational research employing network analysis and complex adaptive systems perspectives provides increasing empirical support for understanding educational processes as relational phenomena characterized by interdependence, adaptation, and reciprocal influence (Rad et al., 2023; Marin et al., 2026; Roman et al., 2026).

Implications for educational policy and conclusions

The Territorial Educational Resilience Framework (TERF) proposed in this paper offers several important implications for educational policy by shifting attention from isolated educational interventions toward the development of adaptive educational ecosystems. If educational inequalities emerge through continuous interactions among learners, families, schools, communities, and governance structures, policy responses should likewise adopt systemic strategies capable of strengthening the relationships that connect these components. Educational equity cannot be achieved through reforms targeting only schools or individual learners but requires coordinated interventions operating simultaneously across multiple institutional and territorial levels (Patrinos & Fasih, 2009; Ferhat, 2021; Fortin & Brassard, 2015). One of the principal policy implications concerns the role of decentralization in educational governance. While decentralization enables educational policies to become more responsive to local realities, its effectiveness depends on the capacity of territorial actors to coordinate resources, establish institutional partnerships, and sustain collaborative decision-making processes. Local educational governance should therefore be understood not simply as an administrative mechanism but as an adaptive process that strengthens communication, institutional learning, and shared responsibility among educational stakeholders. Educational policies that empower local communities while ensuring strategic coordination across governance levels are more likely to reduce territorial disparities and promote equitable educational opportunities (Fonkoua & Marmoz, 2010; Ferhat, 2021).

The proposed framework also highlights the importance of replacing predominantly remedial educational policies with preventive strategies that identify vulnerability before educational disengagement occurs. Early intervention, continuous family-school communication, coordinated support services, mentoring initiatives, and community participation create protective mechanisms capable of interrupting the cumulative processes that eventually result in school dropout. Such preventive approaches acknowledge that educational exclusion develops progressively and therefore requires equally continuous and

coordinated responses across educational systems (Fortin et al., 2006; Paksi et al., 2023; Zay, 2015).

Another important implication concerns the expansion of community-based educational interventions. Educational resilience is strengthened when schools collaborate actively with families, local authorities, civil society organizations, healthcare providers, and non-formal educational institutions to create integrated support networks for learners. Community partnerships increase educational continuity, facilitate access to complementary learning opportunities, and reinforce students' sense of belonging within their educational environments. Beyond formal educational provision, initiatives that promote communication, socialization, mentoring, and inclusive participation contribute to the development of stronger educational ecosystems capable of responding to diverse learner needs. Likewise, digital citizenship, safe online communication, and responsible technology use should increasingly be regarded as community responsibilities rather than exclusively school-based concerns, reflecting the growing interconnectedness between educational environments and digital social spaces (Runhare et al., 2021; Gasse, 2024; Dughi & Coşarbă, 2025; Gavrilă-Ardelean & Gavrilă-Ardelean, 2017; Rad et al., 2019).

The systems perspective presented in this paper is equally consistent with contemporary approaches to sustainable educational development, emphasizing the long-term adaptive capacity of educational ecosystems rather than short-term improvements in isolated performance indicators. Technological transformation should therefore be accompanied by inclusive governance, ethical educational practices, and opportunities for meaningful participation that strengthen both individual development and community cohesion. Sustainable educational development ultimately depends on the ability of educational systems to continuously learn, adapt, and innovate in response to changing social, technological, demographic, and territorial conditions (Marin et al., 2026; Gavrilă-Ardelean & Gavrilă-Ardelean, 2018).

Overall, this paper argues that educational inequalities should be understood as emergent properties of complex adaptive systems rather than as the consequence of isolated individual or institutional factors. The proposed Territorial Educational Resilience Framework (TERF) contributes to the existing literature by integrating learners, families, schools, communities, and governance into a unified conceptual model connected through continuous feedback relationships. Within this perspective, educational equity emerges from the quality of interactions among educational actors rather than from independent interventions; resilient communities strengthen resilient schools by

mobilizing human infrastructure, social capital, and collaborative capacity; and territorial governance evolves from a predominantly administrative function into an active educational resource capable of fostering inclusive, resilient, and sustainable educational development. Future empirical research should operationalize the proposed framework across diverse territorial contexts and examine the dynamic relationships among its five subsystems using advanced systems-oriented methodologies, thereby providing robust evidence for the design of educational policies that are responsive to the complexity of contemporary educational ecosystems.

References

- Brotcorne, P. (2019). Pour une approche systémique des inégalités numériques parmi les jeunes en âge scolaire. *Nouveaux cahiers de la recherche en éducation*, 21(3), 135–154.
- Checcaglini, A., & Caro, P. (2021). Métropolisation, mobilités et décrochage scolaire. *Revue française de pédagogie*, 211(2), 23–35.
- Demeter, E., Rad, D., Bocoş, M., Roman, A., Egerău, A., Ignat, S., ... & Chiş, R. (2026). Is burnout the hidden architecture of academic life in university students? A network analysis of psychological functioning within a control–value and job demands–resources framework. *Behavioral Sciences*, 16(4), 493.
- Dughi, D. (2020). Mentoring in lifelong learning for teachers-example of good practice. *Educația Plus*, 26(1), 247-253.
- Dughi, D. E., Cosarba, E. M., & Torkos, H. (2024). The role of dramatic pedagogy in developing transversal competence on students. *Journal Plus Education*, 35(1), 237-246.
- Dughi, D., & Bold, I. (2022). Language teaching and emotional intelligence developing at preschool age, through fairy tales and stories. *Journal Plus Education*, 31(2), 83-96.
- Dughi, D., & Cosarba, E. M. (2025). Education and resilience: how teacher–parent communication can shape children's futures. *Journal Plus Education*, 38(Special Issue), 276-284.
- Dughi, D., Cosarba, E., & Petcut, D. (2024). Digital platforms and applications used in romanian language and literature classes at the high school level. *Journal Plus Education*, 36(2), 331-339.
- Dughi, D., Paul, B. P., & Grindeanu, B. (2025). Innovative approaches to stimulating interest in reading. *Journal Plus Education*, 37(1), 531-543.
- Dughi, D., Torkos, H., Coşarbă, E. M., & Rediş, A. D. (2024). Parents'perceptions about improving student's behavior through

- participation in non-formal activities. A qualitative analysis. *Journal Plus Education/Educația Plus*, 35(1), 155-170.
- Dughi, T., & Cotrău, S. (2014). Child development through bibliotherapy. *Journal Plus Education*, 10(1), 239–250
- Dughi, T., Rad, D., Roman, A., Dughi, D., Stoian, C. D., Stoian, N. R., ... & Rad, G. (2025). When Home Helps or Hurts: A Moderated Mediation Analysis of Work Meaning, Intrinsic Motivation, and Life Satisfaction Across Family Flexibility Profiles. *Behavioral Sciences*, 15(11), 1451.
- Ferhat, I. (2021). La décentralisation, les collectivités territoriales et l'école : vers des politiques éducatives locales ? *Carrefours de l'éducation*, 51(1), 13–17.
- Fond-Harmant, L., Kane, H., Baumgart, J. G., Absil, G., Philippart, A., Deloyer, J., ... & Denis, F. (2023). The contributions of a mental health research process concomitant with the Covid-19 crisis. *Clinical Neuropsychiatry*, 20(4), 279.
- Fonkoua, P., & Marmoz, L. (2010). La décentralisation de l'éducation en Afrique subsaharienne : avancées et hésitations. *L'Harmattan*.
- Fortin, L., Marcotte, D., Potvin, P., Royer, É., & Joly, J. (2006). Typology of students at risk of dropping out of school: Description by personal, family and school factors. *European Journal of Psychology of Education*, 21(4), 363–383.
- Fortin, M. J., & Brassard, M. J. (2015). Gouvernance territoriale et recomposition institutionnelle. *Revue Organisations & territoires*, 24(3), 43–52.
- Gasse, S. (2024). L'éducation non formelle : un espace d'entre-deux au sein de situations sociales complexes pour rendre effectif le droit à l'éducation. *Phronesis*, 13(1), 77–94.
- Gavrila-Ardelean, L., & Gavrila-Ardelean, M. (2017). The influence of Apilarnil treatment on some aspects of getting a job and social networking in young adults. *Revista de Cercetare si Interventie Sociala*, 57, 104.
- Gavrila-Ardelean, M., & Gavrila-Ardelean, L. (2017). The Amelioration Of Socialization Through Communication, For Children In Family Homes. In E. Soare, & C. Langa (Eds.), *Education Facing Contemporary World Issues*, vol 23. *European Proceedings of Social and Behavioural Sciences* (pp. 1215-1222). Future Academy.
<https://doi.org/10.15405/epsbs.2017.05.02.149>
- Gavrila-Ardelean, M., & Gavrila-Ardelean, L. (2018). Technology and the future. *Croire en la technologie: mediatization du futur et futur de la mediatization*, Actes du, 76-83.

- Giband, D., Delage, A., Mary, K., & Nafaa, N. (2023). *Géographie de l'éducation: concepts, enjeux et territoires*. Armand Colin.
- Marin, C. D., Iosim, I., Rad, D., Stoian, C. D., Măduța, C., & Rad, G. (2026). Sustainable Learning Habituation: A Systems-Based Framework for Understanding Adaptation in E-Learning Environments for Sustainable Development. *Sustainability* (2071-1050), 18(10), 4709.
- Muniglia, V., Rothé, C., & Thalineau, A. (2012). Accompagner les jeunes vulnérables. *Agora débats/jeunesses*, 62(3), 97–110.
- Murat, F. (2021). Les inégalités territoriales en matière d'éducation. *Éducation & formations*, (102), 67–102.
- Paksi, B., Széll, K., & Fehérvári, A. (2023). Empirical testing of a multidimensional model of school dropout risk. *Social Sciences*, 12(2), 50.
- Patrinos, H. A., & Fasih, T. (2009). Decentralized decision-making in schools: The theory and evidence on school-based management. World Bank Publications.
- Rad, D. T., Dughi, T., Roman, A., & Ignat, S. (2019). Perspectives of Consent Silence in Cyberbullying. *Postmodern Openings*, 10(2), 57-73. <https://doi.org/10.18662/po/71>
- Rad, D., Mara, D., Mara, E. L., & Pérez, M. P. (2026). When Control is Lost but Meaning is Gained: A Moderated Mediation Model of Digital Agency and AI Adoption in Higher Education. *Revista Romaneasca Pentru Educatie Multidimensionala*, 18(1), 51-68. <https://doi.org/10.66388/rrem/18.1/04>
- Rad, D., Redeuș, A., Roman, A., Egerău, A., Lile, R., Demeter, E., Dughi, T., Ignat, S., Balaș, E., Maier, R., Kiss, C., Mărineanu, V., Bocoș, M., Bâtcă-Dumitru, G. C., Cuc, L. D., Vancu, G., Rad, G., & Chiș, R. (2023). The use of theory of planned behavior to systemically study the integrative-qualitative intentional behavior in Romanian preschool education with network analysis. *Frontiers in psychology*, 13, 1017011. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1017011>
- Rad, D., Roman, A., Egerău, A., Ignat, S., Balaș, E., Dughi, T., Bocoș, M., Mara, D., Mara, E.-L., Costin, A., Marcu, R., Colareza, C. C., Coman, C., & Rad, G. (2026). Empowered or Constrained? Digital Agency, Ethical Implications, and Students' Intentions to Use Artificial Intelligence. *Behavioral Sciences*, 16(2), 222. <https://doi.org/10.3390/bs16020222>
- Rarieya, J., Wango, N., Oluga, M., & Abunga, O. (2024). Accelerating primary education tutors' acquisition of gender-responsive pedagogies. *European Journal of Education and Pedagogy*, 5(4), 47.

- Reyes, J. A., Elias, M. J., Parker, S. J., & Rosenblatt, J. L. (2012). Promoting educational equity in disadvantaged youth: The role of resilience and social-emotional learning. In *Handbook of resilience in children* (pp. 349-370). Boston, MA: Springer US.
- Rodríguez-Fernández, A., Ramos-Díaz, E., & Axpe-Saez, I. (2018). The role of resilience and psychological well-being in school engagement and perceived academic performance: An exploratory model to improve academic achievement. *Health and academic achievement*, 18(1), 159-176.
- Roman, A., Rad, D., Albulescu, I., Stan, C., Balaş, E., Ignat, S., ... & Rad, G. (2026). The anatomy of AI integration in student learning: A psychological network analysis of AI appraisal and self-regulated learning across use-frequency groups. *Education Sciences*, 16(5), 720.
- Runhare, T., Ouda, J. B., Vele, M. T., & Mudzielwana, N. (2021). School–community interventions to curb learner dropout: The perceptions of key education stakeholders in a rural South African school neighbourhood. *International Review of Education*, 67(5), 591-610.
- Supeno, E., Dionne, P., & Ntebutse, J. G. (2022). Analyse des parcours d'apprentissage et justice sociale. *Nouveaux cahiers de la recherche en éducation*, 24(1), 1–15.
- Torkos, H., & Coşarbă, E. M. (2026). Adaptive parenting strategies in a technological world: effects of a six-week experiential and non-formal education program in Arad county (Romania). *Journal Plus Education/Educația Plus*, 40(1), 199.
- Torkos, H., & Egerau, A. M. (2020). Outdoor education and its influence on the successful involvement of pupils in the social life. *Postmodern Openings*, 11(4), 127-143.
- Zay, D. (2015). *Prévenir l'exclusion scolaire et sociale des jeunes*. Presses universitaires de France.